

Whitmire, D. Jeremy

From: Sherry whibley <sherrywhibley@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 06, 2026 1:41 PM
To: sctclerk@mssc.state.ms.us
Subject: Carly Maddison GREGG 23/04/2007

2024-KA-1178-SCT

[Some people who received this message don't often get email from sherrywhibley@gmail.com. Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

EXTERNAL EMAIL

FILED

APR 06 2026

Subject: Request for Independent Review of the Case of Carly Gregg

OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT
COURT OF APPEALS

To whom it may concern at The Mississippi Supreme Court

We write on behalf of Carly's Warriors, a collective of family members, supporters, and individuals with direct knowledge of Ms. Carly Gregg and the circumstances surrounding her conviction. Our purpose is to formally request an independent review of her case, with specific attention to the mental-health factors and systemic failures that materially contributed to the tragic events in question.

Background and Context

Ms. Gregg was convicted for the death of her mother, an outcome that has caused profound and enduring grief for all parties involved. However, it is essential to note that Ms. Gregg and her mother shared a demonstrably close, loving, and mutually supportive relationship. There is no evidence of longstanding hostility, abuse, or intent that would typically characterise cases of intra-familial violence.

At the time of the incident, Ms. Gregg was experiencing significant and escalating mental-health deterioration. Multiple witnesses, including family members, observed clear signs of psychological instability. Despite these warnings, Ms. Gregg did not receive the medical intervention, psychiatric assessment, or prescribed medication that her condition required. This failure of care is central to understanding the circumstances that led to the tragedy.

Concerns Regarding Mental-Health Treatment and Duty of Care

We respectfully submit the following concerns for review:

- Failure to provide appropriate psychiatric intervention despite observable symptoms of mental distress.
- Lack of timely access to medication that had previously stabilised Ms. Gregg's condition.
- Insufficient safeguarding measures, despite the family's attempts to seek help.
- Potential misinterpretation of Ms. Gregg's mental state at the time of the incident, resulting in an outcome that may not accurately reflect her level of culpability.

These issues raise serious questions regarding whether Ms. Gregg's mental state was adequately considered, both during the investigation and throughout the judicial process.

Family Position and Ongoing Support

It is highly relevant that Ms. Gregg's stepfather and her mother's parents — the individuals most directly affected by this tragedy — continue to advocate for her. Their position is unequivocal: they do not believe the incident reflects Ms. Gregg's true character, nor the nature of her relationship with her mother. Their continued support underscores the need for a reassessment grounded in medical evidence rather than assumptions of malice.

Request for Review

In light of the above, Carly's Warriors respectfully request:

1. A full independent review of Ms. Gregg's case, including all psychiatric evidence and pre-incident medical history.
2. A reassessment of her mental state at the time of the offence, conducted by qualified forensic mental-health professionals.
3. Consideration of whether the absence of appropriate medical treatment constitutes a mitigating factor that was not sufficiently weighed during sentencing.
4. Evaluation of whether the current outcome aligns with principles of justice, proportionality, and the duty of care owed to individuals experiencing acute mental-health crises.

Our intention is not to diminish the seriousness of the event, but to ensure that the legal response reflects the full factual and medical context. Ms. Gregg is not a danger to the public; she is a vulnerable young woman who suffered a severe mental-health crisis and was not afforded the intervention that could have prevented this tragedy.

Conclusion

We respectfully request that this matter be given due consideration. The interests of justice, public confidence, and humane treatment of individuals with mental-health conditions all support a thorough and impartial review of Ms. Gregg's case.

Sincerely,

Sherry and Stuart WHIBLEY
Carly's Warriors from UK